



# Engineering Design Report

for Palm Lake Works Pty Ltd



Level 1, 215 Pacific Highway Charlestown NSW 2290 02 4943 1777 newcastle@northrop.com.au ABN 81 094 433 100

## **Contents**

1. In	ntroduction	3
1.1.	Background	3
1.2.	Site Description	3
1. P	roposed Development	4
1.1.	General	4
2. S	tormwater Quality Management Strategy	5
2.1.	General Philosophy	5
2.2.	Stormwater Quality	5
3. C	conclusion	8
Ap	opendix A – Design Drawings	

	Date	
Prepared by	EH	03/09/2024
Checked by	CP	03/09/2024
Admin	ZJ	03/09/2024

NL223154-00 / 3 September 2024 / Revision B O:\5 Pre-July 24 Projects\Newcastle\YEAR 2022 Jobs\NL223154 - Palm Lake Resort, Old Bar Beach\E - Reports\E04 - SMP Beach Clubhouse DA\NL223154\_E04\_SWMP\_Beach Clubhouse [B].docx



02 4943 1777

Level 1, 215 Pacific Highway Charlestown NSW 2290

newcastle@northrop.com.au

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

ABN 81 094 433 100 Northrop Consulting Engineers Pty Ltd have been engaged by Palm Lake Works Pty Ltd to prepare a stormwater management plan for a proposed clubhouse, located at 42 Lewis Street, Old Bar on Lot F DP420085, herein known as "the Site".



Figure 1 – The Site and Development Area

#### 1.2. Site Description

The Site is located approximately 11km southeast of the Taree township and is bordered by existing residential lots on the northeastern boundary and the proposed Palm Lake Resort on the southwest, which is currently undeveloped. The site is coastal, with the southeastern side being a part of Old Bar Beach and approximately 1720m<sup>2</sup> is subject to erosion. The total site is approximately 5130m<sup>2</sup>, with only 3410m<sup>2</sup> being appropriate for construction. It is currently undeveloped land, and grades towards the southeastern boundary at approximately 2% within the developable land. Levels on site range from approximately 7.5m AHD at the road frontage to 5m AHD at the erosion boundary.

A geotechnical assessment conducted by Regional Geotechnical Solutions on 4<sup>th</sup> June 2024 (Ref: RGS03511.1-AB) concluded that a minimum of the top 4.5m of soil is sand.



# 1. Proposed Development

### 1.1. General

The proposed development consists of a multistorey clubhouse with associated outdoor recreational areas, generally as shown below in **Figure 2**.



Figure 2 - Proposed Development Masterplan

Stormwater treatment is proposed for the site. It is understood that the site runoff is directed towards the ocean and as such detention would not be beneficial.



# 2. Stormwater Quality Management Strategy

### 2.1. General Philosophy

Water quality is to be managed by a treatment train consisting of the following:

- A 10kL rainwater reuse tank connected to the clubhouse roof for internal and external reuse.
- A gross pollutant trap to collect gross pollutants prior to discharge into the raingarden.
- A raingarden with a minimum 50m<sup>2</sup> filter media area located at the back of the site to provide tertiary treatment. Due to sandy soils, surface water is intended to infiltrate into the sand layer below.
- Due to the high infiltration, it has been assumed only 50% of the pervious (landscaped) area will reach the stormwater network, and so 50% has been modelled as bypass.

#### 2.2. Stormwater Quality

Water quality treatment has been assessed in accordance with Mid Coast Council's Development Control Plan and Technical Manuals. To substantiate the effectiveness of the proposed water quality control measures, stormwater quality modelling was undertaken using the Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement and Conceptualisation (MUSIC) V6.3.0.

#### 2.2.1. Treatment Targets

According to Mid Coast Council Stormwater Assessment Procedure, non-residential developments within the Coastal Drainage Area are to meet reduction targets outlined in Table 1. MCC's online mapping tool provides only the Coastal Drainage Area for the Great Lakes Region and so excludes this site. However, the site drains directly into the ocean rather than via an estuarine system. As such, it is reasonable to consider the site to fit the definition of the Coastal Drainage Area.

Pollutant	Reduction Target		
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	80%		
Total Phosphorus (TP)	60%		
Total Nitrogen (TN)	45%		
Gross Pollutants (GP)	90%		

#### Table 1 - Reduction Targets for Pollutants

Neutral or Beneficial Effect (NorBE) targets were also investigated. However, as the site comprises of a deep layer of sand, providing high infiltration, the pre-developed site pollutants are too low to be realistically achieved using MidCoast Council's recommended treatment measures.

#### 2.2.2. MUSIC Parameters

The MUSIC model was developed using recommended parameters presented in MCC's Guidelines for Water Sensitive Design Strategies (2019) and the NSW MUSIC Guidelines (BMT WBM, 2015). The MCC Rainfall Template was used to import rainfall and potential evapotranspiration data.

Geotechnical data and MCC WSD Guidelines were used to determine pervious area parameters and groundwater properties. The Geotechnical Assessment conducted by Regional Geotechnical Solutions in 2024 (Ref: RGS03511.1-AB) noted that at a minimum the top 4.5m of soil is sand. Based on Figure 4-9 from MCC WSD Guidelines, sand falls within Soil Hydrologic Group A. Pervious area



parameters and groundwater properties for Group A were adopted for all source nodes within Catchment 2 (see Table 4-2 from MCC WSD Guidelines).

The high flow bypass for each treatment node was set at a flow rate that results in a maximum of 90% of the modelled flow volume passing through the measure. This was determined using a generic treatment node and modifying the high flow bypass rate until 10% of the inflow bypassed the node.

#### **Pre-Developed Catchment**

In accordance with the MCC WSD Guidelines, source nodes for the pre-developed catchments were based on the predominant land use over the previous five years. A rural source node was adopted, based on aerial imagery and site inspections.

#### **Developed Catchments**

Each precinct was divided into multiple source nodes to be modelled in MUSIC. The urban source nodes adopted to represent the development were Roof, Commercial, Revegetated Land and Sealed Road. The source nodes were modelled as follows:

- Road –The roads were modelled as Sealed Road with a percentage impervious of 100%.
- Roof The roofs were modelled with a percentage impervious of 100%.
- Terrace The terrace and pool were modelled as commercial with a percentage impervious of 100%.
- Landscaping landscaping was modelled as Revegetated Land with a percentage impervious of 10%.

#### 2.2.3. Stormwater Treatment Train

The proposed stormwater treatment train is described below:

- Rainwater Reuse Tank Roof runoff from the clubhouse is to be directed to a 10kL rainwater tank. It is to be fitted with a proprietary first flush device, which capture the first portion of roof runoff, effectively removing initial sediment and attached pollutants. The rainwater harvesting system has been modelled with both internal and external reuse including toilet flushing and landscape irrigation, respectively. The Clubhouse was modelled with a daily reuse rate of 550L (for toilet flushing) and annual reuse of 144kL (for garden irrigation), assuming four times midcoast council recommendations for residential reuse (MCC WSD Guidelines 2019).
- Raingarden The minor stormwater network will direct stormwater runoff to the raingarden where, through infiltration, the runoff will be treated before infiltrating into the existing sand. The raingarden was modelled with a filter media area of 50m<sup>2</sup>, a filter depth of 0.4m and an extended detention depth of 0.2m. Parameters for the biofiltration swales were adopted in accordance with the NSW MUSIC Guidelines (BMT WBM, 2015).

A schematic of the MUSIC model can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3 - MUSIC Model Layout Schematic

### 2.2.4. MUSIC Results

The results from the site analysis are shown in the tables below.

Table 2 - MUSIC resul	ts
-----------------------	----

POLLUTANTS		SOURCES	RESIDUAL LOAD	REDUCTION (%)	TARGET REDUCTION (%)
TSS	kg/yr	338	63.8	81.1	80
TP	kg/yr	0.73	0.288	60.6	60
TN	kg/yr	6.84	3.04	55.6	45
GP	kg/yr	73.7	5.45	92.6	90



Through adoption of the above measures it is considered that the proposed treatment train will effectively meet the design intent of MCC's water quality requirements. Ongoing maintenance of the implemented measures throughout the occupational phase of the development will be required to ensure the devices continue to operate as intended.

### 3. Conclusion

Based on our assessment we believe that the proposed design achieves the required objectives and considers MCC's DCP, Australian Standards, and industry best practice. The proposed development can adequately meet the legislative requirements and is considered appropriate for the intended development and use.

We note the information contained in this report is not intended to present detailed design solutions but rather provide solutions commensurate with a conceptual design suitable for modification to an existing Development Application assessment.

Should you have any queries, please feel free to contact the undersigned on (02) 4943 1777.

Yours faithfully,

Chris Piper Principal | Civil Engineer BEng (Civil) MIEAus CPEng NER On Behalf of Northrop Consulting Engineers Pty Ltd



#### **Limitation Statement**

Northrop Consulting Engineers Pty Ltd (Northrop) has been retained to prepare this report based on specific instructions, scope of work and purpose pursuant to a contract with its client. It has been prepared in accordance with the usual care and thoroughness of the consulting profession for the use by Palm Lake Works Pty Ltd. The report is based on generally accepted practices and standards applicable to the scope of work at the time it was prepared. No other warranty, express or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Except where expressly permitted in writing or required by law, no third party may use or rely on this report unless otherwise agreed in writing by Northrop.

Where this report indicates that information has been provided to Northrop by third parties, Northrop has made no independent verification of this information except as expressly stated in the report. Northrop is not liable for any inaccuracies in or omissions to that information.

The report was prepared on the dates shown and is based on the conditions and information received at the time of preparation.

This report should be read in full, with reference made to all sources. No responsibility is accepted for use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose. Northrop does not purport to give legal advice or financial advice. Appropriate specialist advice should be obtained where required.

To the extent permitted by law, Northrop expressly excludes any liability for any loss, damage, cost or expenses suffered by any third party relating to or resulting from the use of, or reliance on, any information contained in this report.



# Appendix A – Design Drawings